UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,535	01/18/2001	Daniel S. Kwoh	41592/WWM/K296	1407
	7590 02/11/200 RKER & HALE, LLP	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068	,	PORTER, RACHEL L		
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/7	65,535	KWOH, DANIEL	S.		
	Office Action Summary	Exa	niner	Art Unit			
		RAC	HEL L. PORTER	3626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears o	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE C of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMUNICA in no event, however, may a rep and will expire SIX (6) MONTH he application to become ABAR	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>18 June 20</i>	007				
′=	,	2b)⊠ This actio					
3)		<i>'—</i>		s prosecution as to th	ne merits is		
٥/١	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	ciocoa in accordance with the practi	oo anaon Ex pari	o Quayro, 1000 0. <b>D</b> .	11, 100 0.0. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-31</u> is/are pend	ling in the applic	ation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-31</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.				
			,				
Applicati —	on Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by th						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)∏ accepted	or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is i	required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	er. Note the attached (	Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have documents have of the priority do anal Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in App cuments have been re 「Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this Nationa	ıl Stage		
2)  Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3626

4.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed 6/18/07. Claims 1-15 and 17-31 are presented for examination. Claims 30-31 are new.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112.

2. The rejection of claims 1-11, 26 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is hereby withdrawn due to the amendment filed 6/18/07.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- unpatentable over Jones et al (US 2002/0156661) in view of Jafri US 5,832,454)

  As to claim 1, Jones discloses an electronic method for calculating travel costs

Claims 1-9, 12-17, and 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

As to claim 1, Jones discloses an electronic method for calculating travel costs for a user (see abstract) comprising:

prompting a user to enter information for each of a plurality of types of travel products; (par. 30-31, 34, 39)

Deleted: .
Deleted: (

Page 2

Page 3

Art Unit: 3626

receiving information for the plurality of travel products is received from the user; (par. 30-31, 33)

- determining a cost of each of a plurality of types of travel products by searching through an electronic database using the received information; (Fig. 7, Fig. 8a, par. 34,49, 53)
- determining the cost, reporting the cost of each of a plurality of types of travel products to the user; (par. 34,49,53; Figure 8A)
- prompting step the user to request alternative travel product information; (par. 57—allows constraints to be changed)
- receiving a user request to receive alternative travel product information (par. 57)
- reporting the determined alternative travel products to the user. (Fig. 8D)

Claim 1 has been amended to recite determining alternative travel products to offer the user by accessing an electronic discount database based on a plurality of alternative criteria, wherein the alternative travel products are determined by automatically altering concurrently the information for a plurality of types of travel products received from the user.

Jones discloses a method including determining alternative travel products to offer the user by accessing an electronic discount database based on a plurality of alternative criteria, (par. 49,57-58, Figs. 8A-8D—The rates/costs are discounted or cheaper when the constraints are relaxed and more flexible)

Jones does not expressly disclose wherein the alternative travel products are determined by automatically altering concurrently the information for a plurality of types of travel products received from the user. Jafri discloses a method comprising the determining altenative travel products, wherein alternative travel products are determined by automatically altering concurrently the information for a plurality of types of travel products received from the user. (col. 5, lines 2-60) At the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Jones with the teaching of Jafri. As suggested by Jafri, one would have been motivated to include these features to expedite the time it takes to find satisfactory travel arrangements for the user. (col. 5, lines 57-60)

As to claim 2, Jones discloses an electronic method for calculating travel costs wherein reporting the determined alternative travel comprises displaying a range of prices for each travel product based upon availability of products from different product providers and displaying a total price range for all of the requested travel products.(Figure 3B, 5B, 8D-shows price alternatives, par. 58)

As to claims 3-5, Jones discloses an electronic method for calculating travel costs of wherein receiving information further comprises receiving an airline departure date, a departure location, and a destination location (Figure 2B, 3A); receiving a hotel location and number of nights (Figure 2B, 4A, par. 47); and receiving a car rental location and days rented. (Figure 5A-C, par. 48, 51)

Art Unit: 3626

As to claim 6, Jones teaches a method wherein the number of nights to reside at the hotel location and the number of days a car will be rented are based upon airline departure and return dates. (Figure 2B, 3A, par. 39,48)

Page 5

As to claim 7, Jones discloses a method determining cost further comprises determining hotel location and rental car location using the destination location. (Figure 2B; par. 47-49)

As per claim 8, Jones discloses a method wherein the at least two of alternative includes return date, and departure date to conform to the requirements of the discount database. (Figures 8B-8C)

As per claim 9, Jones discloses a method further comprising prompting the user to select travel products for purchase. (Figures 5B, 4B)

As per claim 17-20, the limitations of the present claim are addressed by the rejections of claims 1-2.

As per claim 29, Jones discloses a method wherein the plurality of travel product includes at least hotel, airline and car rental products. (par. 47-49)

[claim 30] Jones discloses the method of Claim 1, wherein the electronic database and the electronic discount database are each a part of a larger database. (Figure 1, par 33-34)

Art Unit: 3626

[claim 31] Jones discloses the method of Claim 17, wherein the electronic database and the electronic discount database are each a part of a larger database. (Figure 1, par. 33-34)

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones and Jafri as applied to claim 9, and in further view of lyengar (USPN 6,360,205)

As to claim 10, Jones discloses an electronic method for calculating travel costs of claim 9 further comprising:

- prompting the user to enter personal information (par. 30);
- receiving step personal information from the user (par. 30-31,35);
- contacting travel product providers of the products selected for purchase, making reservations for the travel products to be purchased (Fig. 4a-b: buy now, Figure.
   5c, make reservation)

Jones does not expressly disclose inputting payment and receiving payment information for travel products to be purchased. Iyengar discloses a method further comprising prompting the user to input payment information to the travel service providers for travel products to be purchased. (col. 8, lines 9-24). At the time of the Applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Jones with the teaching of Iyengar. One would have been motivated to include this feature to facilitate the completion of the transaction(s).

Art Unit: 3626

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones and Jafri as applied to claim 1, in view of Delorme et al., Pat. No. 5,948,040. (hereinafter DeLorme).

As to claim 11, Jones teaches the method of claim 1 as explained in the rejection of claim 1, but does not explicitly disclose a method further comprising prompting a user to input cruise information. However, DeLorme discloses wherein the information request step further comprises prompting a user to input a cruise departure date. (see Fig. 6 and col. 52, lines 23-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the method of Jones with the teaching of DeLorme to include prompting a user to select at least one of the group consisting of a cruise departure date, a cruise departure location, a cruise destination location, a cruise return date, a cruise provider, a cruise ship, a cruise name, and a cruise passenger class. As suggested by DeLorme one would have been motivated to include this feature to provide a system with complete integration of travel/activity required by a user (col. 6, lines 47-54).

- 7. Claim 12-13, 21-26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones and Lynch et al. (US 6119094 A).

  [Claim 12] Jones discloses a method comprising:
  - prompting a user to enter information for a plurality of types of travel products;
     (par. 30-31, 34, 39)

Art Unit: 3626

 receiving information for a plurality of types of travel products from the user; (par. 30-31, 33)

- selecting a plurality of travel products comprising at least two travel product types by searching an electronic database of travel products using the received information (Figure 2A; 6A)
- searching the electronic database of travel products for automatically generated alterations of the plurality of travel products (Figure 8A-C)

However Jones does not expressly disclose a method further comprising:

determining a combined cost of the selected plurality of travel products; selecting an
alternative combination of travel products comprising at least two travel product types
with a lower combined cost than the selected plurality of travel products; and reporting
the combined cost of the selected plurality of travel products and the combined cost of
the alternative combination of travel products to the user.

Lynch discloses a method wherein at least two types of travel products are selected and wherein the pricing for the travel products and the low-cost travel alternatives are determined and displayed. (col. 8, line 36-col. 9, line 1; Fig. 4) At the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Jones with the teachings of Lynch include determining a combined cost of the selected plurality of travel products, selecting an alternative combination of travel products comprising at least two travel product types with a lower combined cost than the selected plurality of travel products, and reporting the combined cost of the selected plurality of travel products and the combined cost of the alternative

Art Unit: 3626

combination of travel products to the user. As suggested by Lynch, one would have been motivated to include these features to expedite and simplify the process by which low-cost travel options are identified. (col. 2,lines 19-26.

Page 9

[claim 13] Jones discloses the method of Claim 12 wherein requesting information further comprises prompting the user to enter at least one of the group consisting of: airline, cruise, train, hotel, and car rental information. (Figure 2B; 3A, 4A, 5A-C, par. 47-48, 51)

As per claim 21, Jones teaches an electronic method comprising:

- providing a user interface to a user over a communications network; (par. 33, 35)
- causing the user interface to prompt the user to enter information for a plurality of travel products into the user interface; (par. 30-31, 34, 39)
- receiving the information over the communications network; (par. 30-31, 33)
- searching a first database on a server to determine the cost of the plurality of travel products; and (Fig. 7, Fig. 8a, par. 34,49, 53)
- generating a report to be displayed through the user interface, the report comprising the cost of each travel product to be displayed concurrently; (Figures 3B,4B,5B);
- causing the user interface to prompt the user to request alternate travel product information; (par. 57—allows constraints to be changed)
- generating alternative travel product criteria automatically based on information from the user

Art Unit: 3626

accessing a second database to determine alternative travel products of a plurality of travel product types to offer the user; and par. 49,57-58, Figs. 8A-8D—The rates/costs are discounted or cheaper when the constraints are relaxed and more flexible)

However Jones does not expressly disclose a method further comprising :

generating a report to be displayed through the user interface, the report comprising the cost of alternative travel products for a plurality of travel product types to be displayed concurrently (Fig. 8D)

Lynch discloses a method wherein at least two types of travel products are selected and wherein the pricing for the travel products and the low-cost travel alternatives are determined and displayed. (col. 8, line 36-col. 9, line 1; Fig. 4) At the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Jones with the teachings of Lynch to include generating a report to be displayed through the user interface, the report comprising the cost of alternative travel products for a plurality of travel product types to be displayed concurrently (Fig. 8D) As suggested by Lynch, one would have been motivated to include these features to expedite and simplify the process by which low-cost travel options are identified. (col. 2,lines 19-26)

As per claim 22, Jones teaches a method wherein a processor in the server executes the searching of the first database. (par. 33-37)

Art Unit: 3626

As per claim 23, Jones teaches a method further comprising: transmitting reports over the communication network to a user device. (35,39)

Page 11

As per claim 24, Jones teaches a method wherein the communications network is the Internet. (par. 33)

As per claim 25, Jones teaches a method, wherein the first and second databases are each a part of a larger database. (par. 34)

As per claim 26, Jones teaches a method wherein travel alternatives are automatically generated by altering travel information (such as travel dates, accommodations, or type of rental) received from the user. (Figures 3A, 4A, 5A; 8B-8C).

As per claim 28, Jones teaches the method of claim 21 wherein travel alternatives are automatically generated by altering travel information (such as travel dates, accommodations, or type of rental) received from the user. (Figures 3A, 4A, 5A; 8B-8C).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 3626

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 12

9. Claims 14-15 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jones.

As to claim 14, Jones teaches system for determining travel product prices for users comprising:

- an information server coupled to a computer network coupled to a user terminal coupled to the computer network; (par. 33-35)
- a first database coupled to the computer network for storing airplane flight information; (par. 34,36)
- a second database coupled to the computer network for storing hotel information;
   ( par. 34,36 )
- a third database coupled to the computer network for storing rental car information; ( par. 34,36)
- wherein the information server is configured to receive a request for information on a plurality of types of travel products from the user terminal where the request includes selection criteria for the travel criteria; and (par. 30, 39)
- wherein the information server is configured to aggregate hotel information, car rental information, and airplane flight information from various databases is aggregated and transmitted to a user terminal in a single report.. (par. 39-40)
- wherein the information server is configured to calculate variations of the
   information on the plurality of travel products using the selection criteria or a

variation of the criteria and provide alternate travel products based on the calculations in a single report. (Figure 7-8A-D; par. 57-58)

As to claim 15, Jones discloses a method in which the reservation information stored by the system may include hotel, airline, and car rental information and the system includes a plurality of databases. (par. 34) Furthermore, the server may be requested to retrieve and transmit discounted rates for each of these types of travel products to the user. (Fig. 8B-8D, par. 58-59)

As per claim 27, Jones discloses a system for determining travel product prices of claim 14, wherein calculating variations of the information on the plurality of types of travel products include variations of dates of travel, type of accommodation, or type of accommodation. (Fig. 4B,5B, and 8B-C)

# Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 and 17-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant appears to argue the newly added claim limitations. New grounds of rejection and additional citations and explanations from the prior art have been

Art Unit: 3626

provided in the prior art rejection of the current Office Action for applicant's consideration to address the newly added limitations.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel L. Porter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,535	Page 15
, .pp	

Art Unit: 3626

Deleted: ¶

/R. L. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 3626 February 4, 2008

/Joseph Thomas/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626